

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,712	MATSUOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qutub Ghulamali	2611	

All Participants:

(1) Qutub Ghulamali.

(2) Mr. Remus F. Fetea.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 May 2006

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NO

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative agrees to amend claim 20, as indicated in the examiners amendment. Such amendment is necessary to correct for some minor deficiency with claim so as to advance prosecution in the case.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)